

Mahidol University Notice Subject: Determining the forms relevant to Mahidol University Workers' performance evaluation

B.E. 2565

......

Seeing that it is expedient to determine the forms required for Mahidol University Workers' performance evaluation, in order to ensure clarity and consistency,

The President, with the Human Resource Management Committee's approval from the meeting number 9/2565 on 11 May 2565 B.E., and with his formal authority as stated in Section 8 (6) of the Mahidol University Regulation regarding the University's personnel management B.E. 2551 and item 9 of the Mahidol University Regulation regarding performance evaluation criteria and methods for Workers in Mahidol University B.E. 2565, hereby determines the forms relevant to Mahidol University Workers' performance evaluation as follows:

- 1. Performance Agreement and performance evaluation
- 2. Performance follow-up
- 3. Performance report
- 4. Performance evaluation result summary and notification
- 5. Individual Development Plan
- 6. Declaration of Promise
- 7. Operation report produced by the performance evaluation results screening committee

This notice takes effect from evaluation period B.E. 2566 onwards.

Announced on 25 May 2565, B.E.

S. Maleuri

(Professor Banchong Mahaisavariya, M.D.)

President of Mahidol University



Performance Agreement and performance evaluation

Evaluation period: B.E.

Section 1 Personal information

Affiliated department		Faculty/equivalent	status
☐ University Staff	Evaluation period	(1 Jul 30 Jun)	
☐ Government official/employee	Evaluation period	First period (1 Jul 31 Dec)	O Second period (1 Jan 30 Jun)

Section 2 Performance Agreement (PA)

- 1. Responsibilities, work weight, metrics, targets, and evaluation criteria shall be agreed upon by the immediate supervisor and the subject in accordance with the subject's job description, position, and capabilities, reminding the strategies, plans, or goals of the university, department, and/or organization.
- 2. The subject must fill out this form with their responsibilities, weighing, metrics, targets, and evaluation criteria. Then, the subject and their immediate supervisor must sign this form.

2.1 Responsibilities and metrics of success

				Dorsontago	Metrics of success (percent				ntage)	
	Responsibilities state	ed in PA	Percentage (weight)		Quantity	Quality	Time	Cost- effectiveness	Level of satisfaction	
1.			e	1						
			×	-				2		

		Metrics of success (percentage)				
Responsibilities stated in PA	Percentage (weight)	Quantity	Quality	Time	Cost- effectiveness	Level of satisfaction
2.	3,0 46				V 1 1=	
	a named long					
				1 . Telsour #49 lu	editions in way.	1,000
3.	gricel in	And it is	alesa, redes e a . 2		parties of any bose of a	
		ing for 1 D	Marie de Jarie		Personal Property of Management	
4.				o. 7 pass	many 5. 4 on a second	, II ,
and our figure of the second s			Augustus (fr. A.). Beet B William Charles (c. 1991)	g and g and g against g	ger or several territories and a great	
			والمرابعة	e som get tald	to any the sect	
5.				see Bargo Transis		
Surger a page of the second state of TASA		LO. PRINTE		All right week i	roffits regard	,
6.				4 mm 2 v m m v m m		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
				9 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
						1 1 2
Total weight of responsibilities	100		-			

2.2 Performance evaluation criteria: Describe the scoring criteria for each responsibility stated in the PA, including metrics and targets.

		1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	atration in the	Evaluation criteria	1 .	8
	Details of metrics of success	Significantly above target A (9-10 points)	On target B (7-8 points)	Near target C (5-6 points)	Below target D (3-4 points)	Significantly below target E (0-2 points)
1.		Francisco Sept. Sept. 1	MIÑI M	of the state of th		
2.		5 No. 6 111 VIII			,	
3.						
4.						
5.			,			
6.						
7.						
	Signed	Subject		Signed	Evaluato	or (immediate supervisor)
	(Position//)	3	Position//)	

Section 3 Performance evaluation:

3.1 Performance evaluation: The immediate supervisor shall provide Performance scores in accordance with the PA, then submit them to the performance evaluation committee for review.

a ma	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4) X (2)
	Responsibilities stated in PA	Percentage	Evaluation results:	Evaluation results:	Obtained score
		(weight)	immediate supervisor	performance evaluation	(the committee's
				committee	evaluation X weight)
			(Please specify score: 0-10)	(Please specify score: 0-10)	
1.					
2.		84 =			8
3.					
4.					
5.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
6.					-
7.					
		5 ,	Total	Performance evaluation score	
			Performance evaluation	on score, proportioned to 80%	

^{*} Performance score calculator

^{1.} Multiply the performance evaluation committee's score for each item by its corresponding weight: (4) X (2).

^{2.} Combine all the multiplied evaluation scores for all items.

^{3.} Proportion the evaluation scores to the designated percentage.

3.2 Core Competency evaluation: The performance evaluation committee shall evaluate the Competencies according to the corresponding department's preferred format, then specify the obtained score in order to calculate the Core Competency evaluation score.

Core Competency	Total score	Obtained score	Obtained score X Total score
1. Mastery: having mindfulness, control over one's mind and thoughts, and a strong passion for continuous learning and self-development, in order to gain deep, real, and profound knowledge in one's field of work	10		C , , ,
2. Altruism: helping others without being asked, and being willing to sacrifice one's time for the benefit of all, in order for a job to be successful	10		
3. Harmony: listening to, respecting, and appreciating others; being able to collaborate with a diverse group of people; contributing ideas, making plans, and working together to achieve a common goal	10		
4. Integrity: being trustworthy and truthful; carrying out tasks with righteousness, fairness, and professional ethics in mind	10		
5. Determination: carrying out assignments to the best of one's ability; persevering in order to achieve an objective, despite challenges, obstacles, and hardship	10		•
6. Originality: expressing one's opinions, taking initiatives, improving, and developing work methods or processes in order to exceed standards, resulting in new inventions or innovations	10		
7. Leadership: bringing about a great change; being able to communicate, influence, and persuade others in order to win their trust and support to realize a change	10		
Total	Core Competency	evaluation score	
	(N	1+A+H+I+D+O+L)	
Core Competency eva		score ÷ 700) X 20	- L

3.3 Functional Competency evaluation and/or Managerial Competency evaluation: The performance evaluation committee shall evaluate the Competencies according to the corresponding department's preferred format, then specify the obtained score in order to calculate the Functional/Managerial Competency evaluation score.

Functional Competency	Total score Obtained score
FC01	100
FC02	100
FC03	100
FC04	100
Functional Competency evaluation result (FC01+FC02+FC03+FC04)	400

Mana	Total score	Obtained score	
MC01 Visioning	ar well in it allows a particularly with the	100	ti in chata dia 1000
MC02 Leadership		100	
MC03 Change Management	so known who are and the com-	100	and at employment, every having a
MC04 Strategic Thinking	- internal in the	100	erskriver a stronger
MC05 Self-Control	en fra vez en al livia de mini livia de et en er	100	end and a second second second
MC06 Empowering Others		100	rige degree in the service of
MC07 Conceptual Thinking		100	
MC08 Caring & Developing Others		100	
MC09 Proactiveness		100	
MC10 Communication & Influencing	**	100	
Managerial Co (MC01+MC02+MC03+MC04-	1000		

Section 4 Performance evaluation results summary: Calculate the final score by combining the Performance evaluation score (3.1) and the Core Competency evaluation score (3.2) then use it to summarize a performance evaluation result.

Elements of evaluation	Work weight (percentage)	Obtained score (points)	Performance	e evaluation result level
Performance	80		☐ Excellent	90.00 - 100.00 points
Core Competency	20	8	☐ Great	80.00 - 89.99 points
			☐ Good	70.00 - 79.99 points
Total (Performance + Core Competency)	100		☐ Average	60.00 - 69.99 points
			☐ Needs impro	ovement Below 60.00 points

Section 5 Comments and feedback from the performance evaluation committee: The performance evaluation committee shall provide comments and feedback to the subject in order for them to improve.

Comments and feedback for improvements			
	4.5		

		1	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Signed	President	Signed	Committee member
()	()
Date//		Date/	/
		7.	
Cianad	Committee member	Signad	
Signed	Committee member	signed	Committee member
() -	. ()
Date///		Date/	/



Performance follow-up Evaluation period: B.E.

Section 1	The	subjec	ct's	infor	mation
-----------	-----	--------	------	-------	--------

Title-name-surname	Position
Affiliated department	Faculty/equivalent status

<u>Section 2</u> Performance progress report according to PA: The subject shall make a progress report of their responsibilities as stated in the PA, based on the metrics of success specified in the evaluation criteria. If there is any issue/obstacle that hinders succeed a responsibility, please specify details. Then, the subject and their immediate supervisor must sign this form.

Responsibilities stated in PA	Performance progress report according to metrics of success	Challenges/obstacles
1.		
2.		F 8:
		*
3.		
,		
4.		

Responsibilities stated in PA
Performance progress report according to metrics of success

5.
6.
7.

Signed Subject	Signed Evaluator (immediate sup	ervisor)
()	()	
Position	Position	
Date Month	Date Month	



Evaluation period: B.E.

Section 1 The subject's information					
Title-name-surname			Position		
Affiliated department				valent status	
☐ University Staff	Evaluation period	(1 Jul 30 Jur			
☐ Government official/employee	Evaluation period	○ First period (1 J	ul 31 Dec)	O Second period (1 Jan	30 Jún)
Section 2 Performance report according to PA:		*			
(1) The subject shall report their performa	ance based on the me	trics of success specifi	ed in the PA, with sup	porting evidence attached.	
(2) The subject shall conduct a preliminar	ry self-evaluation, prov	iding scores for each r	esponsibility based or	n the metrics of success speci	fied in the agreed-
upon criteria.					
Responsibilities stated in PA		Performance report l	pased on metrics of	success	Self-evaluation (Please specify score: 0-10)
	angerial registre	W 50 400 110 TH	THE MANAGEMENT	en de la serie	
<u> </u>	, date		me ⁻¹ n ⁻⁰ .		
	a. X				
					,
· ·	,	, "			,
	- i				
					21
	1				2

	Responsibilities stated in PA	Performance report based on metrics of success	Self-evaluation
			(Please specify score: 0-10)
4.			e .
		Cultipain de 1912	
5.		i agrico	da vez 1 g. i
	2 - 10 TH CHRONIC	and the second of the second o	graphy or graphy and CII
6.	es vigas in charge C	Control and control miles of the control and t	projection.
		and the second s	
7.			
		i jeganga palamatan daga lahasan sa 25 kan menduan dan 18 menduan dan 18 menduan dan 18 menduan dan 18 menduan	100

The subject has reported their performance and the evaluator has received it; they are signing this form as evidence.

Signed	Subject	Signed Evaluato	or (immediate supervisor
()	()	
Position		Position	
Date Month	Year	Date Month Year	



Performance evaluation results summary and notification

Evaluation period: B.E.

Section	1 The subject's information			
7	Title-name-surname			
F	Position	Affiliated departm	nent	
F	aculty/equivalent status			
	University Staff	Evaluation period	(1 Jul 30 Jun.)
[☐ Government official/employee	Evaluation period (First period (1 Jul	31 Dec)
		(Second period (1 J	an 30 Jun)
Section .	2 Performance evaluation results su	ummary		
	Elements of evaluation	Work weight (percentage)	Obtained score	
	Performance	80		
	Core Competency	20	-	
	Total	100		==
Р	Performance evaluation result level □ Excellent 90.00 - 100.00 □ Great 80.00 - 89.99 □ Good 70.00 - 79.99 □ Average 60.00 - 69.99	points points		

<u>Section 3</u> Competency evaluation results: In order to notify the subject, the evaluator shall attach the Core Competency evaluation results (3.2) together with Functional Competency evaluation and/or Managerial Competency evaluation results (3.3) to the Performance Agreement and performance evaluation form.

<u>Section 4</u> Comments/reedback from the evaluator of the	performance evaluation committee
4.1 The subject's strengths	
4.2 The subject's areas of improvement	and the second s
4.2 The subject's aleas of improvement	
Section 5 Evaluation results acknowledgment	
The evaluator	The subject
☐ has communicated the performance	\square has acknowledged the performance
evaluation results to the subject.	evaluation results.
Signed Evaluator (immediate supervisor)	Signed Subject
()	()
*	Testing 1.3 - 1.1
Position	Position
Date Month	Date Month Year



Individual Development Plan

Evaluation period: B.E.

Section 1 Persona	al information
-------------------	----------------

Title-name-surname of the individual	Position
Affiliated department	Faculty/equivalent status
Title-name-surname of the immediate supervisor	

Section 2 Individual Development Plan (IDP)

- Instruction 1. The individual shall indicate (1) 1-2 competencies or skills that require development, (2) expected behaviors/outcomes, (3) development methods, (4) duration, and (5) budget, as agreed upon with their immediate supervisor. Please see additional examples and explanations in this attachment.
 - 2. The immediate supervisor shall monitor the behaviors or outcomes that occur as a result of the individual's development efforts outlined in the IDP, then report such results in Section 3.

Competencies/skills that require	Expected behaviors/outcomes	Development methods and details	Duration (start-finish)	Budget	Outcome	of development
development		(70 : 20 : 10)			Expectations met	Expectations <u>unmet</u> (Specify reason)
	507	= 10/30				

aug 177 for early algo-	Buda Sir hag tandition
	Lagrangian and the second of t
	1 St.
Signed Individual	Signed Immediate supervisor
()	()
\/	
Position	Position
Date Month	Date Month
	The second secon
Section 3 Development results notification	
☐ The results have been communicated.	☐ The results have been acknowledged.
Signed Immediate supervisor	Signed Individual
()	()
Position	Position
1 Ositioi I	
Date Month Year	Date Month Year

<u>Description</u>

1. Competencies/skills that require development refer to Core Competency and/or Functional Competency and/or Managerial Competency, as well as other skills that are vital to boosting performance and productivity.

- 2. Expected behaviors/outcomes refer to those that occur as a result of the IDP-based development efforts.
- 3. Development methods refer to the means of development that use tools based on the 70:20:10 learning theory (experiential learning: social learning: formal learning), which must be chosen in accordance with the learning aptitude of each individual. If you choose a development method that involves other people, please include their names.

Examples of development methods based on the 70:20:10 learning theory

Development methods	Examples of development methods
Method 1: 70%	- On the Job Training (OJT)
Experiential Learning	- Job Enrichment
	- Job Enlargement
	- Job Rotation
	- Special Project/Special Assignment
	- Activity
	- Job Shadowing
	- Internal Trainer
	- Counterpart
Method 2: 20%	- Coaching
Social Learning	- Mentoring
	- Consulting
and the second s	- Feedback
	- Field Trip
	- Community of Practitioner (COP)
Method 3: 10%	- Classroom Training
Formal Learning	- Meeting/Seminar
	- Scholarship

Development methods	Examples of development methods			
and the later control of the first of the control o	- Self-Learning			

Examples of development method descriptions

- ◆ <u>Job Rotation</u> refers to the practice of letting a personnel who has spent a significant amount of time in one department temporarily shift to another, allowing them to exchange information and discover new practices beyond their own department.
- <u>Special Project/Special Assignment</u> refers to the practice of giving a personnel a task they have never done before that is relevant to their required competency in order to sharpen that particular competency.
 - On the Job Training refers to the practice of executing one's main duty or routine job in order to learn and comprehend the operation directly.
- ◆ <u>Job Shadowing</u> refers to the practice of monitoring and observing an expert, allowing a personnel to learn how to be a role model and to follow it.

 They will also observe the work environment, scope of work, real-world management scenarios, required skills, as well as behaviors and attitudes.
- Expert Briefing refers to the practice of learning and requesting experience-based information from qualified personnel or outsiders in one's desired areas of development.
- <u>Self-Learning</u> refers to the practice of studying and researching new job-related information and updates in order to improve one's performance, such as reading and researching from the internet, academic journals, and articles.
- •Benchmarking refers to the practice of comparing the state of one's own organization against a "best practice" organization by analyzing instances of procedures or workflows of a "best practice" organization against one's own current procedures or workflows.
- Coaching refers to the practice of having someone with excellent job-related experience, talents, and skills assist, instruct, and advise an employee so that they can learn and improve their performance. A coach (usually the personnel's immediate supervisor) should offer advice, feedback, and follow-ups, as well as encouragement.

- Mentoring refers to the practice of having a colleague with excellent job-related experience, talents, and skills assist and advise a personnel in relation to their career, life, or everyday work, in order for them to sharpen their performance and familiarize themselves with their work. A mentor should be an experienced individual with a positive attitude towards their own organization who can serve as a role model.
- Field Trip refers to the practice of visiting another department or organization to inspect their work in one's areas of interest or improvement, which would broaden one's perspectives with different working approaches.
 - ◆ Training/Workshop refers to the practice of holding a training, seminar, or a lab to improve the participants' job-related abilities or skills.
 - 4. Duration refers to the start and end time of the development process. The development period in an assessment cycle shall not exceed one year.
 - 5. Budget refers to the amount of money spent in a development effort in order to achieve expected behaviors/outcomes.
- 6. Outcome of development refers to behaviors or outcomes that result from carrying out the IDP. If a behavior or an outcome does not meet your expectations, please specify the reason.



				Written at
				Date Month Year
I, (Mr./Mrs./Ms.)		Position		
Affiliated department		Faculty/equiv	valent st	tatus
☐ University Staff	Evaluation period	(1 Jul 30 Jun)		
☐ Government official/employee	Evaluation period	First period (1 Jul 31 Dec	:) (Second period (1 Jan 30 Jun)
have acknowledged that my perfor	mance evaluation re	sult in the evaluation period mention	oned ab	ove was "needs improvement" (below 60 points). I
hereby promise my supervisor that	I will improve my pe	erformance. Also, I have prepared th	he detai	ils of the Performance Agreement for evaluating my
performance during evaluation perio	d B.E (from) in ac	cordanc	ce with the Performance Agreement and performance
evaluation form attached herein.				
If I do not commit myself t	o fulfilling this comm	nitment, my supervisor may take furt	her actio	on in accordance with Mahidol University Regulations
regarding performance evaluation c	riteria and methods f	or Workers in Mahidol University. I h	iereby si	ign this form as evidence.
Signed	Promiser ((subject)	Signed	
()		()
Position	<u> </u>		Position	n
Signed	Witness		Signed	Witness
()		()
Position			Positio	n



Operation report

Performance evaluation results screening committee

Department.....

Dear University President,	
According to the meeting number, which took place on	the performance
evaluation screening committee affiliated with the department	
has reviewed the performance evaluation results from evaluation period B.E (from 1 July B.E	to 30 June
B.E.). The results are as follows:	

1. Performance evaluation results summary of affiliated personnel

Evaluation result level	Academic Position		Support Position		Notes
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	
Excellent 90.00 - 100.00 points			pel original		
Great 80.00 - 89.99 points	. 84	Y = 1/2.24			The state of the s
Good 70.00 - 79.99 points					
Average 60.00 - 69.99 points					
Needs improvement Below 60.00 points			- D		
Total			, i		

2. Methods executed to ensure standards and fairness in performance evaluation: Put a $\sqrt{\ }$ mark in the "Executed" or "Omitted" column. If a $\sqrt{\ }$ mark is put in the "Omitted" field, please specify the reason.

Item	Executed	Omitted	Reason of omission
Appointing a performance evaluation committee	PERM		1 1
1.1 The body of the evaluation committee complies with the			
regulations.	-		Self-therefore the self-
1.2 Related parties were informed of the committee appointment			
order.			And desired the second
2. Producing a Performance Agreement (PA)			
2.1 The evaluator and the subject formed a PA and both signed it.			
2.2 The production of the PA is done within the time period stated		1.33 - P P. C.	man programme
in the regulations.			
2.3 The evaluator and the subject have reached an agreement on a			
clear and concrete work weight, metrics, target, and evaluation criteria.	1.		
3. Elements used in the performance evaluation			
3.1 The performance score is proportionate, with Performance			
accounting for 80% of the score and Core Competency for 20%.			
3.2 Performance evaluation in accordance with prior PA	7 49		
3.3 Core Competency evaluation	للثابدا	للمر سخا	
3.4 Functional Competency evaluation			
3.5 Managerial Competency evaluation (management positions only)			
4. Performance evaluation			
4.1 The performance evaluation committee has collectively	hala ya Malaya		
reviewed the results.			
4.2 The performance results evaluation is done within the time			
period stated in the regulations.	194	ac may be	W (00904)
4.3 The evaluator has notified the subject of their strengths and			
areas of improvement; the subject has acknowledged such			
information; and both have signed the form.	11 , 4 (3.4),	A PARTY	EAST LEST
4.4 The evaluator and the subject have developed an Individual		er i je eridin	
Development Plan.		Landari I	
5. Usage of technology in the performance evaluation			
5.1 Performance evaluation		*-=	- у

ltem	Executed	Omitted	Reason of omissi
5.2 Competency evaluation			
6. The evaluation results are stored in a way that is easy to access.		£ =	
7. The department made an additional announcement including work			
weight, metrics, and targets, which are not covered by the regulation.			
8. The performance evaluation results screening committee			10.
8.1 The body of the screening committee complies with the		a .	
regulations.			
8.2 The screening committee was assigned to cross-check the			
evaluation results and salary adjustments for the entire department.			
8.3 The screening committee was assigned to review the budget			
allocation for salary adjustments of a division in a department.			
Others (specify)		78 7	2 7 - X
 3. Did any complaints arise from the performance evaluation? No. Yes, there are complaint(s) (please specify subject(s)) 			
4. Comments and feedback on the performance evaluation sys	stem		
(Signed)			
()	
President, Performance	ce evaluation	results screer	ning committee
Date//	/		